

Date: Fri, 1 Jul 94 04:30:11 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #291
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Fri, 1 Jul 94 Volume 94 : Issue 291

Today's Topics:

Existing regulations limit our advancement

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 30 Jun 1994 20:40:29 -0400
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!eff!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!ns.mcs.kent.edu!kira.cc.uakron.edu!malgudi.oar.net!
news.pipeline.com!not-for-mail@network.
Subject: Existing regulations limit our advancement
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I agree with all of the comments. In fact, I would have called
my boss to say that I had a flat tire and to tell him or her
what time I would be in even under the OLD rules.

'Conducting business' is usually pretty obvious.

Phil Stein, K2RCG; O-O Coordinator, ARRL SNJ Section (but these
are my own (albeit trained) opinions).

whs70@dancer.cc.bellcore.com (sohl,william h) wrote:

>
>In article <2ub5ms\$88t@search01.news.aol.com>,
>Teacherjh <teacherjh@aol.com> wrote:

>>
>>I see no reason, even today, why you should not be
>able
>>to use the autopatch to tell your boss your stuck in a
>traffic jam.
>
>And there is no reason that such a call could not be
>made. The recent relaxation of the "no-business" use
>of amateur radio would certainly (IMHO) allow such a
>call.
>
>>I don't see a great deal of difference in using the
>patch to
>>order a pizza or calling a financial institution (or
>your family
>>physician)
>>to set up an appointment.
>
>There isn't.
>
>>I think it's a problematic area... what of the husband
>and wife
>>business team... both of whom are hams. Anyone in
>business for
>>themselves is always at work (ask any self-employed
>person!)... and
>>even "Honey I'll be home at eight" could be a business
>conversation
>>if they discuss business when they get home.
>
>iWe have two hams on a local repeater that briefly chat
>on occasion about where they are, etc. They both work
>(own?) the same company. They don't conduct actual
>business and I see nothing wrong with one telling the
>other: "Morning Joe, I'm on my way to the office but
>I'm stopping to run an errand, etc."
>
>>Like too much in this country, we seem to be focused
>on the
>>"employer-employee" mentality. It's not so simple,
>and it cuts both
>>ways. Let's see how this 'experiment' goes before we
>duplicate GMRS.
>>Jose KD1SB
>
>If I was stuck on the highway, I'd have no reservation
>about using the repeater auto patch to call my boss or
>anyone else to simply state I would not be there at

>XXX time as planned because of traffic, car breakdown,
>or whatever. That certainly (again IMHO) is allowable
>under the new FCC relaxed rules.

>

>Standard Disclaimer- Any opinions, etc. are mine and
>NOT my employer's.

>-----

>-----

>Bill Sohl (K2UNK) BELLCORE (Bell Communications
>Research, Inc.) Morristown, NJ email via
>UUCP bcr!cc!whs70 201-829-2879 Weekdays
>email via Internet whs70@cc.bellcore.com

Date: Fri, 01 Jul 1994 02:04:04 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!gatech!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!
ns.mcs.kent.edu!kira.cc.uakron.edu!malgudi.oar.net!witch!ted!
mjsilva@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CrwFLG.LJt@world.std.com>, <062494104326Rnf0.78@amcomp.com>,
<Cry9xo.JJ6@world.std.com><062994152201Rnf0.78@amcomp.com>
Reply-To : mjsilva@ted.win.net (Michael Silva)
Subject : Re: CW - THE ONLY MODE!

In article <062994152201Rnf0.78@amcomp.com>, Dan Pickersgill (dan@amcomp.com)
writes:

>drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker) writes:

>

>>Dan Pickersgill (dan@amcomp.com) wrote:

>>: drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker) writes:

>>"Wetware modem" as a term generates much more heat
>>than light.

>

>It is descriptive of the activity. And I did not coin the phrase, I just
>used it.

>

I notice nobody ever speaks about "wetware keyswitch actuators", or
"wetware OCR."

73 (he typed, emulating a solenoid matrix?)

Mike, KK6GM

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #291
